

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,258	01/06/2004	Andreas Hund	20793/0204560-US0	4048
7278	7590 11/06/2007	EXAMINER		
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770			CONSILVIO, MARK J	
	Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			A 1: 4/- \		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/752,258	HUND ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mark Consilvio	2872		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS OF THE MAILING TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	ugust 2007.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	• •		
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application		· .		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers	•			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	·	received in this National Stage		
*	application from the International Burea	•	Lucacinad		
<del>"</del> ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.		
Attachme	• •	<b>∆</b> □ 1=4==2	Summary (DTO 410)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application		

10/752,258 Art Unit: 2872

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/29/2007 has been entered.

### Status of Claims

Claims 1-23 were previously rejected and claim 1 is newly amended. Claims 1-23 are currently pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 9, 10, 12-15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawasaki (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0030801).

With respect to claim 1, Kawasaki discloses a tube for a microscope, comprising: an adaptation interface (between elements OB and G1) configured to convey a light beam from the

10/752,258

Art Unit: 2872

microscope along an optical axis; a rotatably disposed operator interface (OC); a beam-deflecting device (G1) including a beam-splitting device (par. 85); and à rotatably disposed beam deflecting unit (G2) disposed on a side of the optical axis opposite the optical interface, a rotation of the operator interface (OC) being constrainedly coupled to a rotation of the beam-deflecting unit (G2); wherein the beam-deflecting device (G1) is configured to deflect at least a portion of the light beam in a direction of the beam-deflecting unit (G2); and wherein the optical axis is defined by a path of the light beam between the microscope and the beam deflecting device (fig. 3).

With respect to claim 2, Kawasaki discloses the beam-deflecting device includes a deflecting prism (P1) (fig. 3).

With respect to claim 3, Kawasaki discloses the deflecting prism (P1) is configured to deflect by 90 degrees the light beam coming from the adaptation interface (fig. 3).

With respect to claim 9, Kawasaki discloses optical properties of the beam-deflecting device (G1) are selectable so that a length of an optical path of light beam in the tube is adaptable (par. 85).

With respect to claim 10, Kawasaki discloses at least a portion of the beam splitter device is movable into and out of a working position (par. 85).

With respect to claim 12, Kawasaki discloses the operator interface (OC) and the beam-deflecting unit (G2) are rotatable about a rotation axis (at P), the rotation axis being perpendicular to the optical axis of the light beam (fig. 3).

With respect to claim 13, Kawasaki discloses, upon a rotation of the operator interface (OC) through a first angle, the beam-deflecting unit (G2) is configured to rotate through a second angle half as large as the first angle (par. 72).

10/752,258 Art Unit: 2872

With respect to claims 14 and 15, Kawasaki discloses a lens device (G1L) disposed between the adaptation interface and the beam-deflecting device (G1), the lens device having a positive refractive power and the lens device being configured to convert a substantially collimated light beam into a converging light beam (pars. 71-72 and 86).

With respect to claim 19, Kawasaki discloses the operator interface (OC) includes a binocular element configured for eyepiece viewing by an operator (par. 71).

With respect to claim 20, Kawasaki discloses the beam-splitting device is configured to split-off a first portion of the light beam coming from the adaptation interface to a detector (par. 85).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-8, 11, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0030801) in view of Tandler et al. (US Patent No. 6,088,155).

With respect to claims 4-8, 11, and 21-23, Kawasaki discloses all the limitations of claim 1, 10, and 20 as stated supra and also teaches the beam deflecting device (G1) includes deflecting prisms (P1 and P2) configured to deflect by 90 degrees the light beam coming from an adaptation interface and a Bauernfeind prism (P3) attached to one of the deflecting prisms (i.e.

10/752,258

Art Unit: 2872

P2) (fig. 3). Kawasaki further teaches that a beam-splitter such as a removable prism may be introduced between the beam deflecting prism and beam deflecting unit for providing a photographing path. Kawasaki does not expressly disclose the beam-splitting device includes a Bauernfeind prism configured to reflect therein twice the at least a portion of the light beam and a prism cemented to the Bauernfeind prism and at least a portion of the beam splitter device is movable into and out of a working position by a magazine slider. However, Bauernfeind prisms cemented to additional prisms are often used in the prior art as beam splitters to partially direct the beam path to an additional image capture device. For example, Tandler teaches a switching device for a microscope that uses such a Bauernfeind prism (4) attached to another prism selectively removable from the optical axis via a magazine slider (8, 9) so that the desired transmission can be directed to an operator or image capture device. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to either replace the prisms (P2, P3) of Kawasaki with or additionally include a beam splitting arrangement like that of Tandler since all the elements were known in the prior art, one of ordinary skill could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods and with no change in respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muchel Kawasaki (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0030801) in view of Sato (US Patent No. 5,519,531).

With respect to claims 16-18, Kawasaki discloses all the limitations of claim 1 as stated supra. Though Kawasaki does not expressly disclose the all further limitations of claims 16-18,

10/752,258

Art Unit: 2872

Sato teaches an assembly is telescopic in a direction of an optical axis of a light beam and includes a first lens (112) having a negative refractive power and configured to substantially collimate a light beam, a second positive lens (113), and an operator interface (103) (fig. 8). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Kawasaki and Sato to allow the assembly of Kawasaki to be telescopic as taught by Sato to allow the operator to extend the usable range of the viewer's position making the microscope more ergonomic.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Consilvio whose telephone number is (571) 272-2453. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on (571) 272- 2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/752,258

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MC

10/31/2007

ALESSANDRO AMARI PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER